

Message

---

**From:** Lapachin, Jyl [Lapachin.Jyl@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 3/16/2021 6:09:41 PM  
**To:** Amoroso, Cathy [Amoroso.Cathy@epa.gov]; Adams, Glenn [Adams.Glenn@epa.gov]; Dixon, Chelsea [Dixon.Chelsea@epa.gov]; Leff, Karin [Leff.Karin@epa.gov]; Gervais, Gregory [Gervais.Gregory@epa.gov]; Dalzell, Sally [Dalzell.Sally@epa.gov]; Richards, Jon M. [Richards.Jon@epa.gov]; Froede, Carl [Froede.Carl@epa.gov]; Alexander, Shanna [Alexander.Shanna@epa.gov]; Frederick, Tim [Frederick.Tim@epa.gov]; Lukens, Elizabeth [Lukens.Elizabeth@epa.gov]

Once the PRGs are established applying relevant and appropriate requirements in a manner that considers site-specific risks, they shall be used to derive the specific final effluent limitations that are identified in the ROD for the discharge of radionuclides from the EMWMF and the future discharge from the EMDF in a manner consistent with the NCP and in compliance with the most stringent of the EPA and Tennessee CWA regulations and the NRC regulations that I have determined are relevant and appropriate. While the point of exposure to radionuclides used for identifying risk and setting appropriate effluent limits may be downstream of the discharge point (which has not yet been determined), the point of compliance for meeting the final effluent limits must be at the point of discharge.<sup>44</sup>